<u>Session 2 – Woodside Lodge</u> <u>30/09/14 @ 18.30</u>

Attendees:

Helen Woodland – Interim Head of Adult Services Paul Juan – Service Manager Abi Hamilton – Team Manager (Social Work Team) Liz (Social Worker) Deborah – Choices 8 relatives

December cabinet Report Options Recommendations

Q - I have left email address

Q – What has happened since the last meeting?

A – Nothing much happens during this period. Not the same for other services, where co-production has been underway.

Q - Notes from public meeting

Q - How does Cabinet analyse report?

A – Important raw feedback. Time to look through it. Summarise. Themes. See feedback without interference. May write directly to elected member.

Cllr Shields is lead member

Q – Is there any obligation for him to respond?

Q – WL at public consultation meeting poorly represented @ 08/09/14 public consultation meeting – only 6 relatives.

A – Hope public meetings get views of those that are unable to attend these meetings for people who are directly affected.

Q - Didn't work well for relatives of WL

A – Important that your views are heard. More people use Day Services, so collectively they have a louder voice. My role is to make sure equal weight.

Q – Is it your feeling that opportunity for people to ask new questions?

A – Yes – new questions, new people, reassurance. Wanted to continue to talk to you throughout the process. Wanted to continue that dialogue.

Q – Post December contingency for current residents

Q - Dwindled 27 to 18 hold on new admissions

A – Duty of care not want to place people where uncertain. If decision is not to close WL readmit.

Q – You are putting people to uncertain circumstances for them

Q – When is the meeting?

A - 6pm 22/10/14 Civic Centre

Q –

A – Challenging difficult process for Councillors, have not made a decision. Feedback and voices important and valuable

Q – Councillor Shields – don't hold your breath. He didn't respond to 3 questions

A – Councillor Shields and Councillor Moulton being shown around by relative.

Q - Councillor Shields - attended a meeting Saturday - was at the back

Q – No one is on our family's side. Just a number

Q - Think they haven't got a memory - it doesn't matter

Q – What will happen to my mum?

A – No decision been made. Not a betting person but wouldn't put money on closing. If to close we will work with you.

- Q Cost of private homes
- Q Quality Echo last week
- Q How is she going to pay?

A – For majority of people Council funded independent sector rates usually lower. Self-funder rates different to rates regulated by Council.

Q – Obligation to cap at rates currently paid (someone who is completely reliant on funding)

A – Fully funded which have 10. Rates usually consistent if more expensive – negotiate, choose to pay top up, different choice.

- Q Compromise choice?
- A Quality same, rate lower. Not your experience
- Q in it for a business
- Q Sister walked out of 15 crying
- A We quality assure
- Q One in book, not quality
- Q Has Council offered to private?
- Q Is this what losing money £200,000 per year
- A Comparable care for cheaper cost
- Q Do figures compensate for time when stopped admissions low income?
- A Income has no bearing. Fixed costs regardless of residents
- Q Willing to pay more money for private
- A Generally lower
- Q Development potential of the site
- Q What triggered this?

A - £76m savings as a council in next 3 years. Residential care cheaper to buy. Not a range of situations to different people. Limited extra care. Housing would like to reinvest for the future.

Q – I can see that but it's at individuals expense. Putting people in more pain

A – Will be included in consultation feedback

Q – Pay rise if someone achieve savings. Cost look after people with dementia. Don't see it here

Q – Previous 2 houses sold off for development

- A Don't know what might happen
- Q Not convinced invested in extra care

Q – You will save money but what sort of Council services as a level will you accept?

A – Valid view point will Councils be delivering services or commissioning and coordinating services. Many don't provide direct services.

Q - WL eyesore with neighbouring development

- Q Means catering for next 5-10 years
- Q Will national government take this decision?
- A No local decision
- Q Will national election impact?
- A You can write to MP
- Q Are there any other homes on one level?

A – Yes a few built like bungalows. Internals stairs and lift issues. WL first floor.

- Q Last residential care closed?
- A Doctor specialist follow up report.
- Q Process assessment and new placement
- Q Have you consulted with the residents at all?

A – Choices. ??? straightforward. Independent. Some family members do not want their relatives to take part. Non verbal communication takes to account individual needs. Some residents. No benefit. Harm.

- Q Do you like living here?
- A Drop in session family members care to give views
- Q Would want to be consulted
- A Delicate balance and exercise
- Q When?
- A Take place prior to decision being made.
- Q How?
- A We'll let you know how this will be structured
- Q 3 Council run homes. Due to unit costs this is closing

A – No, on average WL has lowest level of occupancy of 3 homes. Not by much.

Q – Still very high level 87??? Highly attended home with 3 beds for respite. Almost full to bursting point with increasing level of dementia

A – High levels of occupancy refer to ??? ??? first as maximises use of resource.

- Q This is the one where development is happening. Ticks every box
- Q Not voice concerns
- Q Whitehaven Council?
- A No, private
- Q Does it have to be a dementia home?

A – Yes, vast majority of registered homes are registered for dementia. Where people's needs are.

End – 19:21h.